Alan M. Anzarouth
Attorney at Law
3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 1325
San Diego, CA 92108
Tel. (619) 398-9390
CA State Bar No. 84888

08 JUN -9 PM 1:29

BY: Fa

DEPUTY

Attorney for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GALIFORNIA

Saad Ali Ghulam, Plaintiff,	DHS File No. A27 203	500)	Civil No: '08 CV	1028WQH NLS
vs. Paul Pierre, District Director)		
•	•	\mathcal{F}_{i}		
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services,)	COMPLAINT	FOR RELIEF IN THE
Michael Chertoff,) '	NATURE OF	MANDAMUS
U.S. Secretary of Ho	meland Security, and)		
Michael B. Mukasey, U.S. Attorney General,)		
Defendants.)		

Plaintiff, by his attorney, complaining of Defendants alleges as follows:

- 1. The Defendant Paul Pierre is the Director of the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS), a subsidiary agency of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), in San Diego, California. The Defendant is sued herein in his official capacity. The Defendant is responsible for the processing of applications for adjustment of status under 8 USC 1255, et. seq., and 8 CFR 245, et. seq.
- 2. Michael Chertoff is the Secretary of Homeland Security, an agency of the U.S. Government, in Washington, D.C. The Defendant is sued herein in his official capacity. The Defendant is responsible for overseeing the operations of the CIS, pursuant to 8 USC 1255, et. seq., and 8 CFR 245, et. seq.
- 3. Alberto Gonzales is the U.S. Attorney General, an agency of the United States Government, in Washington, D.C. The Defendant is sued herein in his official capacity. The

Filed 06/09/2008

25

26

27

28

Defendant is responsible for the processing of security clearance checks conducted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), which the CIS maintains must be completed before it can approve any application for adjustment of status under 8 USC 1255, et. seq., and 8 CFR 245, et. seq.

- 4. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC 1331, 28 USC 1361, 28 USC §1391(e), 28 USC 1651, 5 USC §§551, et seq., 5 USC 701, et. seq., and 28 USC 2201, et. seq..
- 5. Plaintiff Saad Ali Ghulam is a native and citizen of Iraq. On July 5, 1985, the former U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS), now the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS), admitted Mr. Ghulam to the United States as a nonimmigrant visitor for pleasure at Honolulu, Hawaii. On March 29, 2001, Mr. Ghulam's U.S. citizen mother, Mariam Ghulam, filed with the INS a Form I-130 Petition for Alien Relative on behalf of the plaintiff. August 26, 2004, the CIS approved the petition.
- On or about June 12, 2005, Mr. Ghulam filed with the CIS an application for 6. adjustment of status as the unmarried son of a U.S. citizen parent, based on the approved petition. The CIS approval of the adjustment application would result in the Plaintiff receiving lawful permanent residence in the United States.
- 7. Shortly after he filed his adjustment of status application, the Plaintiff provided his biometric fingerprints to the CIS Application Support Center (ASC). He has since twice more provided his biometric fingerprints, the last of which occurred on March 12, 2008, at the CIS ASC in San Marcos, California. The FBI fingerprint results for each of the three submissions show that the Plaintiff has never been arrested or convicted of any crime anywhere in the world.
- 8. On February 13, 2006, more than two years ago, Mr. Ghulam and his mother appeared in-person at the CIS Chula Vista Customer Service Center (CVCSC) for a scheduled adjustment of status examination. At the conclusion of the examination, the district adjudications

25

26

27

28

officer (DAO) advised that she could not approve Plaintiff's adjustment of status application until after security name check results had been received from the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI).

Filed 06/09/2008

- 9. On August 12, 2007, the Plaintiff, through counsel, last inquired in writing as to the status of this matter with the CIS. The government responded in writing by advising that the CIS could take no further action on Plaintiff's adjustment of status application his FBI security clearances were completed. The CIS claimed that the further processing of the Plaintiff's adjustment case was beyond its control because the security checks are performed by other government agencies, namely, the FBI.
- 10. The Plaintiff resides with his petitioner mother, Mariam Ghulam, who is 82 years old. Approximately in May 2007, Mariam was diagnosed with breast cancer. In July 2007, doctors at the University of California at San Diego Thornton Hospital performed a mastectomy on the Plaintiff's. Mariam has received Social Security disability for approximately the past 15 years, from the time that she lost vision in one eye from a stroke. Mariam has been diagnosed with suffering from severe Obsessive Compulsive Disorder (OCD) and the onset of Alzheimer's disease. She has high cholesterol levels and must take medication daily to reduce the effects of this condition. She also suffers from low bone density, anemia, and vitamin deficiency. She takes medication and/or vitamins to relieve the effect of these illnesses. She is unable to walk without physical assistance because she loses her balance. In addition to the above, Mariam consults with a psychiatrist on account of the severe emotional depression that she has suffered from for at least 15 years. She takes prescription medication each day for the depression. Mariam is totally dependent upon the Plaintiff for emotional support and physical assistance. Doctors have advised that she is in physically and emotionally deteriorating condition.
- The Court should know that in order for the Plaintiff to successfully complete his 11. lawful immigration to the United States his petitioner mother must survive him. Thus, should

1

7

8

11

14

12

26

Mariam pass away before the CIS might approve his adjustment of status, the Plaintiff will not lawfully immigrate to the United States on the mother's petition that was filed on his behalf more than seven years ago.

Filed 06/09/2008

- In conclusion, more than three years after he filed his application for adjustment 12. of status, and more than two years after the CIS examined the Plaintiff on his adjustment application, the government has failed to adjudicate his application, and refuses to adjudicate the application until the FBI completes its security clearance checks.
- 13. Defendant Pierre has been unable or unwilling to adjudicate Plaintiff's application for adjustment of status. Further, his office has failed to meaningfully disclose the status of the FBI security clearance checks. Defendants Pierre, Chertoff, and Gonzales are taking no action on Plaintiff's application even though similar cases have been completed expeditiously.
- 14. On April 2, 2008, the CIS issued a News Release in which it proclaimed that the agency and the FBI had reached a "joint plan" to eliminate the backlog of name checks pending with the FBI. In the release, the government agencies announced that "by increasing staff, expanding resources, and applying new business processes," it would process all name checks pending more than three years not later than May 2008. Counsel notes that although the Plaintiff's name check has been pending more than three years, it has not resolved the Plaintiff's name check issue.
 - Plaintiff has exhausted all available administrative remedies. 15.
- The Defendants' refusal to act in this case is, as a matter of law, arbitrary, and not in 16. accordance with the law.
- Plaintiff has been greatly damaged by the failure of the each Defendant to act in 17. accord with his respective duties under the law.

18. The Defendants, in violation of the Administrative Procedures Act, 5 USC 701, et seq., have unlawfully withheld or unreasonably delayed action with Plaintiff's application and have failed to carry out the adjudicative and administrative functions delegated to them by law and regulation with regard to Plaintiff's case.

Page 5 of 7

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Honorable Court:

- A. Order the Defendants to have their agents process this case to a conclusion;
- B. Order that the Defendants pay reasonable attorney fees; and
- C. Order other and further relief as it may deem proper under the circumstances.

Attorney for Plaintiff

DATED: June 8, 2008

SS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		Oldvi.)	DEFENDANTS DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE				
`,	of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego		Paul Pierre, Dis Services, Micha	strict Director, U.S. Citi ael Chertoff, U.S. Secr of First Listed Defendant 15 7 8	18.2		
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			(IN-U.S.)PLAINTIFF GASES (ONLY).				
				D CONDEMNATION CASES, US INVOLVED.	SE THE LOCATION OF THE		
(5)				08 CV 1028	O WATELLAND		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Nan M. Anzarouth, 3111 Camino del Rio N., Suite 1325,			Attorneys (If Known)	OO ON TOTO	o andu iarg		
an Diego, CA 92108	619-398-9390	ο,	i:				
II. BASIS OF JURISE				RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) 			TF DEF I Incorporated or Pri of Business In This			
Z 2 U.S. Government ■ 2	☐ 4 Diversity	Citiz	en of Another State	2	Principal Place		
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Ite	em III)		of Business In A	Another State		
	•		en or Subject of a oreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	, 06 06		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	ar soundhoorn of transact sector that		Sec. 2008 h AMEDITOU			
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL		ORFEITURE/PENALTY®: 10 Agriculture	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	□ 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	□ 310 Airplane □ 362 Person: □ 315 Airplane Product Med. № Liability □ 365 Persona	al Injury -	20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	D 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment			30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and		
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	 330 Federal Employers' Injury I Liability Liability 	Product 🗇 65	50 Airline Regs. 50 Occupational	830 Patent 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit		
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL F ☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other F	PROPERTY	Safety/Health	D 010 Haddinak	490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 🗍 371 Truth in	Lending	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/		
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits		y Damage	10 Fair Labor Standards Act	861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge		
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability		20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions		
☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER P	ETITIONS 74	& Disclosure Act 10 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions	s to Vacate 🔲 79	90 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 893 Environmental Matters		
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment Sentenc ☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Cou	rpus:	91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information		
240 Torts to Land245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations 530 General 444 Welfare 535 Death P		IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination		
290 All Other Real Property		nus & Other 🔯 46	52 Naturalization Application 53 Habeas Corpus -		Under Equal Access to Justice		
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 555 Prison C	Condition	Alien Detainee		 950 Constitutionality of 		
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights	40	55 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes		
V. ORIGIN (Place	an "X" in One Box Only)				Appeal to District		
🛱 1 Original 📋 2 Re	emoved from 3 Remanded from ate Court Appellate Cour	rt Reop	pened another (speci				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION		1331, 1364,	1391(e), 1651, and	al statutes unless diversity):	<u></u>		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause: USCIS has failed to adjudi	icate Plaintiff	s application for a	djustment of status nea	arly 3 years after filing		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:			EMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:		
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 06/09/2008	SIGNA PUR	LE OF ATTOLNEY	OF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # \(\sum_{\text{N}} \) A	MOUNT \$350- APPLYIN	NG IF	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE		
B	5 09/08	<i>─</i>					



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

151744 - MB * * C O P Y * * June 09, 2008 13:30:21

Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 08CV1028 CIVIL FILING

Judge..: WILLIAM Q HAYES

Amount.:

\$350.00 CA

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: VS PAUL PIERRE, ET AL